



remanding the cause for a rehearing.” 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The Commissioner represents in her motion that upon review of the record, agency counsel determined that remand was necessary for further evaluation of Plaintiff’s claim concerning medical improvement. The Commissioner states:

On remand, the Appeals Council will direct the Administrative Law Judge to re-evaluate whether the claimant actually experienced medical improvement and whether the claimant’s disability ceased in accordance with the eight-step sequential evaluation process articulated at 20 C.F.R. § 404.1594 and 416.994. In particular, the Administrative Law Judge shall further evaluate whether the claimant experienced medical improvement compared to the record available at the October 23, 2013, comparison point date. The Appeals Council will remind the Administrative Law Judge to consult POMS DI 24503.050 and HALLEX I-5-3-30 when evaluating the opinion evidence of record.

Def.’s Mot., at 1-2.

Upon review of Plaintiff’s brief in support of the complaint, the ALJ’s decision, and the Commissioner’s motion, the Court agrees with the parties that this case should be reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Commissioner’s Motion to Reverse and Remand (Doc. 16) is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is **REVERSED** and that this case is **REMANDED** under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for reconsideration and further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

  
SHIRLEY PADMORE MENSAH

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated this 7th day of June, 2022.